

HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTIONS, DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS AND WRONSKIANs

16TH ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS, SPECIAL FUNCTIONS AND APPLICATIONS

ROBERTO S. COSTAS SANTOS



RSCOSA@GMAIL.COM
WWW.RSCOSAN.COM

14 06 2022

MONTREAL (ONLINE)

GOAL OF THIS TALK

1. First of all, this talk is in honor of Richard (Dick) Askey.

GOAL OF THIS TALK

1. First of all, this talk is in honor of Richard (Dick) Askey.
2. The main objective is to describe three fundamental tools that need to be handled to get rational approximations related to certain real numbers.

MOTIVATION:

Rational approximation of
real numbers

RATIONAL APPROXIMATION

Given a real number x , and two sequences of integers (a_n) , (b_n) such that $b_n \neq 0$. a_n/b_n is a rational approximation of x if

$$\frac{a_n}{b_n} \rightarrow x \quad \text{when} \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

RATIONAL APPROXIMATION

Given a real number x , and two sequences of integers (a_n) , (b_n) such that $b_n \neq 0$. a_n/b_n is a rational approximation of x if

$$\frac{a_n}{b_n} \rightarrow x \quad \text{when} \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

- **Dirichlet** proved in 1840 that for all x irrational, there exists infinitely many integers a, b such that

$$\left| x - \frac{a}{b} \right| < \frac{1}{b^2}.$$

RATIONAL APPROXIMATION

Given a real number x , and two sequences of integers (a_n) , (b_n) such that $b_n \neq 0$. a_n/b_n is a rational approximation of x if

$$\frac{a_n}{b_n} \rightarrow x \quad \text{when} \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

- **Dirichlet** proved in 1840 that for all x irrational, there exists infinitely many integers a, b such that

$$\left| x - \frac{a}{b} \right| < \frac{1}{b^2}.$$

- **Apery** in 1979 obtained recurrence relations which the numerators and denominators rational approximations of $\zeta(2)$ and $\zeta(3)$ satisfy respectively. [1]

- Later, **Beukers** in 1979 [2] and applying approximation Theory in a short note gave a basic explanation about such recurrence relations presented by **Apery**.

- Later, **Beukers** in 1979 [2] and applying approximation Theory in a short note gave a basic explanation about such recurrence relations presented by **Apery**.
- In such a note it can be verified that there are two tools that are fundamental:
 - * the differential equations, and
 - * the hypergeometric functions.

FIRST TOOL:

The hypergeometric functions

THE HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTIONS

Given two sequences $\{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$ and $\{b_1, \dots, b_s\}$ the hypergeometric series associated to these values is

$${}_rF_s \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_r \\ b_1, \dots, b_s \end{matrix} ; z \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \cdots (a_r)_k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_s)_k} \frac{z^k}{k!},$$

where b_1, \dots, b_s is not a negative integer, and depending on the the values of r and s the range of converge changes, and the shifted factorial $(a)_k$, or Pochhammer symbol, is defined as

$$(a)_k := a(a+1) \cdots (a+k-1).$$

FIRST EXAMPLE: THE GAUSS FUNCTION

The Gauss function

The sequence $f_n(z) = {}_2F_1(-n, b; c; z)$, with $c \neq 0$, fulfills the difference equation:

$$(c + n)f_{n+1}(z) = (c + 2n - bz - nz)f_n(z) + n(z - 1)f_{n-1}(z),$$

with initial conditions $f_0(z) = 1$ and $f_1(z) = 1 - bz/c$.

The Zeilberger's algorithm let us to obtain the difference equation certain hypergeometric series satisfies [5].

SECOND TOOL:

Difference equations

- The homogeneous are of the form:

$$p_0(n)u_n + p_1(n)u_{n+1}(n) + \cdots + p_d(n)u_{n+d} = 0$$

where $\deg p_k$ is a polynomial $k = 0, 1, \dots, d$.

- The homogeneous are of the form:

$$p_0(n)u_n + p_1(n)u_{n+1}(n) + \cdots + p_d(n)u_{n+d} = 0$$

where $\deg p_k$ is a polynomial $k = 0, 1, \dots, d$.

- In the cases we are going to consider (the one we can find in the literature related with such rational approximations) we have

$$p_d(n) = P(n+1)D_n, \quad p_0(n) = P(n)N_n$$

where $\deg p_k(n)$ is constant, i.e. $\deg p_k(n) = T, k = 0, 1, \dots, d$.

SECOND EXAMPLE: RATIONAL APPROXIMATION OF $\zeta(3)$

- Let us consider the sequence

$$R_n = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (2k + n + 2) \frac{(-k)_n (k + n + 2)_n}{((k + 1)_{n+1})^4}$$

SECOND EXAMPLE: RATIONAL APPROXIMATION OF $\zeta(3)$

- Let us consider the sequence

$$R_n = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (2k + n + 2) \frac{(-k)_n (k + n + 2)_n}{((k + 1)_{n+1})^4}$$

- Expanding this sequence we obtain

$$R_n = a_n - b_n \zeta(3)$$

SECOND EXAMPLE: RATIONAL APPROXIMATION OF $\zeta(3)$

- Let us consider the sequence

$$R_n = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (2k + n + 2) \frac{(-k)_n (k + n + 2)_n}{((k + 1)_{n+1})^4}$$

- Expanding this sequence we obtain

$$R_n = a_n - b_n \zeta(3)$$

- Using the Zeilberger algorithm we get that R_n satisfies

$$n u_{n-1} + (2n + 1) (17n^2 + 17n + 5) u_n + (n + 1)^5 u_{n+1} = 0,$$

with certain initial conditions.

SECOND EXAMPLE: RATIONAL APPROXIMATION OF $\zeta(3)$

- Let us consider the sequence

$$R_n = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (2k + n + 2) \frac{(-k)_n (k + n + 2)_n}{((k + 1)_{n+1})^4}$$

- Expanding this sequence we obtain

$$R_n = a_n - b_n \zeta(3)$$

- Using the Zeilberger algorithm we get that R_n satisfies

$$n u_{n-1} + (2n + 1) (17n^2 + 17n + 5) u_n + (n + 1)^5 u_{n+1} = 0,$$

with certain initial conditions.

- In fact, a_n and b_n satisfy the same difference equation.

■ If we consider $S_n = (-1)^n (n!)^2 R_n$, then S_n fulfills

$$n^3 u_{n-1} + (2n + 1) (17n^2 + 17n + 5) u_n + (n + 1)^3 u_{n+1} = 0$$

- If we consider $S_n = (-1)^n (n!)^2 R_n$, then S_n fulfills

$$n^3 u_{n-1} + (2n+1)(17n^2 + 17n + 5) u_n + (n+1)^3 u_{n+1} = 0$$

- This one is the second order difference equation:

$$p_0(n-1) u_{n-1} + p_1(n) u_n + p_0(n) u_{n+1} = 0$$

$$p_0(n) \Delta \nabla u_n - \nabla p_0(n) \nabla u_n + (p_0(n-1) + p_1(n) + p_0(n)) u_n = 0$$

- If we consider $S_n = (-1)^n (n!)^2 R_n$, then S_n fulfills

$$n^3 u_{n-1} + (2n+1)(17n^2 + 17n + 5) u_n + (n+1)^3 u_{n+1} = 0$$

- This one is the second order difference equation:

$$p_0(n-1) u_{n-1} + p_1(n) u_n + p_0(n) u_{n+1} = 0$$

$$p_0(n) \Delta \nabla u_n - \nabla p_0(n) \nabla u_n + (p_0(n-1) + p_1(n) + p_0(n)) u_n = 0$$

- The roots of the characteristic polynomial $\lambda^2 + 34\lambda + 1 = 0$ are

$$\lambda_1 \approx -0.03 \quad \lambda_2 \approx -33.97$$

- If we consider $S_n = (-1)^n (n!)^2 R_n$, then S_n fulfills

$$n^3 u_{n-1} + (2n+1)(17n^2 + 17n + 5) u_n + (n+1)^3 u_{n+1} = 0$$

- This one is the second order difference equation:

$$p_0(n-1) u_{n-1} + p_1(n) u_n + p_0(n) u_{n+1} = 0$$

$$p_0(n) \Delta \nabla u_n - \nabla p_0(n) \nabla u_n + (p_0(n-1) + p_1(n) + p_0(n)) u_n = 0$$

- The roots of the characteristic polynomial $\lambda^2 + 34\lambda + 1 = 0$ are

$$\lambda_1 \approx -0.03 \quad \lambda_2 \approx -33.97$$

- Moreover

$$b_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{n+k}{k}^2, \quad a_n = ??$$

In such example we have

$$R_n = a_n - b_n \zeta(3).$$

By the Poincare's theorem [4] we have

$$R_n = (-1)^n \frac{S_n}{(n!)^2} \approx \frac{(-\lambda_1)^n}{(n!)^2} \rightarrow 0,$$

$$a_n, b_n \approx \frac{(-\lambda_2)^n}{(n!)^2}$$

but the numbers a_n y b_n are not integers, but rational numbers.

$$\left| \frac{R_n}{b_n} \right| = \left| \zeta(3) - \frac{a_n}{b_n} \right|.$$

Numerical experiment

n	a_n	b_n	$ R_n $
1	12	10	0.02056903160
2	$\frac{351}{8}$	$\frac{73}{2}$	0.00007696532519
3	$\frac{62531}{648}$	$\frac{1445}{18}$	$-1.579661956 * 10^{-7}$
4	$\frac{11424695}{82944}$	$\frac{33001}{288}$	$2.036802131 * 10^{-10}$
5	$\frac{35441662103}{259200000}$	$\frac{163801}{1440}$	$-1.799293875 * 10^{-13}$
6	$\frac{20637706271}{207360000}$	$\frac{858433}{10368}$	$1.156012181 * 10^{-16}$
7	$\frac{963652602684713}{174254976000000}$	$\frac{116861473}{2540160}$	$-5.642055399 * 10^{-20}$
8	$\frac{43190915887542721}{17843709542400000}$	$\frac{654716497}{32514048}$	$2.162249452 * 10^{-23}$

THIRD TOOL:

Discrete Wronskian

FIRS RELATIONS WITH WRONSKIANS

- Let us consider the expression:

$$R_n = a_0(n) + a_1(n)\eta_1 + a_2(n)\eta_2 + a_3(n)\eta_3,$$

where η_1 , η_2 and η_3 are real numbers.

FIRS RELATIONS WITH WRONSKIAN

- Let us consider the expression:

$$R_n = a_0(n) + a_1(n)\eta_1 + a_2(n)\eta_2 + a_3(n)\eta_3,$$

where η_1, η_2 and η_3 are real numbers.

- Let us introduce the discrete Wronskian in such a case as

$$W_n(i, j, k) = \begin{vmatrix} a_i(n) & a_j(n) & a_k(n) \\ a_i(n+1) & a_j(n+1) & a_k(n+1) \\ a_i(n+2) & a_j(n+2) & a_k(n+2) \end{vmatrix},$$

where $i \neq j \neq k$.

FIRS RELATIONS WITH WRONSKIAN

- Let us consider the expression:

$$R_n = a_0(n) + a_1(n)\eta_1 + a_2(n)\eta_2 + a_3(n)\eta_3,$$

where η_1 , η_2 and η_3 are real numbers.

- Let us introduce the discrete Wronskian in such a case as

$$W_n(i, j, k) = \begin{vmatrix} a_i(n) & a_j(n) & a_k(n) \\ a_i(n+1) & a_j(n+1) & a_k(n+1) \\ a_i(n+2) & a_j(n+2) & a_k(n+2) \end{vmatrix},$$

where $i \neq j \neq k$.

- Then

$$\begin{vmatrix} R_n & a_1(n) & a_2(n) \\ R_{n+1} & a_1(n+1) & a_2(n+1) \\ R_{n+2} & a_1(n+2) & a_2(n+2) \end{vmatrix} = W_n(0, 1, 2) + W_n(3, 1, 2)\eta_3 \\ = W_n(0, 1, 2) + W_n(1, 2, 3)\eta_3.$$

In fact, we are going to define as well

$$W_n(R, 1, 2) = \begin{vmatrix} R_n & a_1(n) & a_2(n) \\ R_{n+1} & a_1(n+1) & a_2(n+1) \\ R_{n+2} & a_1(n+2) & a_2(n+2) \end{vmatrix}.$$

■ Let us assume [3 equations, 4 polynomials]

$$\begin{aligned} p_0(n)a_1(n) + p_1(n)a_1(n+1) + p_2(n)a_1(n+2) + p_3(n)a_1(n+3) &= 0, \\ p_0(n)a_2(n) + p_1(n)a_2(n+1) + p_2(n)a_2(n+2) + p_3(n)a_2(n+3) &= 0, \\ p_0(n)a_3(n) + p_1(n)a_3(n+1) + p_2(n)a_3(n+2) + p_3(n)a_3(n+3) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

In fact, we are going to define as well

$$W_n(R, 1, 2) = \begin{vmatrix} R_n & a_1(n) & a_2(n) \\ R_{n+1} & a_1(n+1) & a_2(n+1) \\ R_{n+2} & a_1(n+2) & a_2(n+2) \end{vmatrix}.$$

■ Let us assume [3 equations, 4 polynomials]

$$\begin{aligned} p_0(n)a_1(n) + p_1(n)a_1(n+1) + p_2(n)a_1(n+2) + p_3(n)a_1(n+3) &= 0, \\ p_0(n)a_2(n) + p_1(n)a_2(n+1) + p_2(n)a_2(n+2) + p_3(n)a_2(n+3) &= 0, \\ p_0(n)a_3(n) + p_1(n)a_3(n+1) + p_2(n)a_3(n+2) + p_3(n)a_3(n+3) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

■ If we work with such expressions in order to eliminate $p_1(n)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} p_0(n)W_n(1, 2) - p_2(n)W_{n+1}(1, 2) + p_3(n) \begin{vmatrix} a_1(n+3) & a_2(n+3) \\ a_1(n+1) & a_2(n+1) \end{vmatrix} &= 0 \\ p_0(n)W_n(2, 3) - p_2(n)W_{n+1}(2, 3) + p_3(n) \begin{vmatrix} a_2(n+3) & a_3(n+3) \\ a_2(n+1) & a_3(n+1) \end{vmatrix} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

- If we eliminate $p_2(n)$ and after some straightforward calculations we have

$$p_1(n)W_n(1, 2, 3) + p_3(n)W_{n+1}(1, 2, 3) = 0.$$

SECOND CASE WITH WRONSKIANS

- Let us assume [2 equations, 4 polynomials]

$$\begin{aligned}p_0(n)a_1(n) + p_1(n)a_1(n+1) + p_2(n)a_1(n+2) + p_3(n)a_1(n+3) &= 0, \\p_0(n)a_2(n) + p_1(n)a_2(n+1) + p_2(n)a_2(n+2) + p_3(n)a_2(n+3) &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

SECOND CASE WITH WRONSKIAN

- Let us assume [2 equations, 4 polynomials]

$$\begin{aligned}p_0(n)a_1(n) + p_1(n)a_1(n+1) + p_2(n)a_1(n+2) + p_3(n)a_1(n+3) &= 0, \\p_0(n)a_2(n) + p_1(n)a_2(n+1) + p_2(n)a_2(n+2) + p_3(n)a_2(n+3) &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

- If we work with such expressions in order to eliminate $p_1(n)$ we obtain

$$p_0(n)W_n(1, 2) - p_2(n)W_{n+1}(1, 2) + p_3(n) \begin{vmatrix} a_1(n+3) & a_2(n+3) \\ a_1(n+1) & a_2(n+1) \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

SECOND CASE WITH WRONSKIANS

- Let us assume [2 equations, 4 polynomials]

$$\begin{aligned}p_0(n)a_1(n) + p_1(n)a_1(n+1) + p_2(n)a_1(n+2) + p_3(n)a_1(n+3) &= 0, \\p_0(n)a_2(n) + p_1(n)a_2(n+1) + p_2(n)a_2(n+2) + p_3(n)a_2(n+3) &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

- If we work with such expressions in order to eliminate $p_1(n)$ we obtain

$$p_0(n)W_n(1,2) - p_2(n)W_{n+1}(1,2) + p_3(n) \begin{vmatrix} a_1(n+3) & a_2(n+3) \\ a_1(n+1) & a_2(n+1) \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

- Taking into account the original recurrence by taking $n \mapsto n+1$, we multiply the previous expression by $p_0(n+1)$ and after some simplifications we have

$$\begin{aligned}p_0(n)p_0(n+1)W_n(1,2) - p_2(n)p_0(n+1)W_{n+1}(1,2) \\ + p_3(n)p_1(n+1)W_{n+2}(1,2) - p_3(n)p_3(n+1)W_{n+3}(1,2) &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

■ If $p_i(n) = \lambda_i n^T + \mathcal{O}(n^{T-1})$ $i = 0, 1, \dots, 3$ and

$$p_0(n)u_1(n) + p_1(n)u_1(n+1) + p_2(n)u_1(n+2) + p_3(n)u_1(n+3) = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} & p_0(n)p_0(n+1)W_n(1,2) - p_2(n)p_0(n+1)W_{n+1}(1,2) \\ & + p_3(n)p_1(n+1)W_{n+2}(1,2) - p_3(n)p_3(n+1)W_{n+3}(1,2) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

- If $p_i(n) = \lambda_i n^T + \mathcal{O}(n^{T-1})$ $i = 0, 1, \dots, 3$ and

$$p_0(n)u_1(n) + p_1(n)u_1(n+1) + p_2(n)u_1(n+2) + p_3(n)u_1(n+3) = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} & p_0(n)p_0(n+1)W_n(1,2) - p_2(n)p_0(n+1)W_{n+1}(1,2) \\ & + p_3(n)p_1(n+1)W_{n+2}(1,2) - p_3(n)p_3(n+1)W_{n+3}(1,2) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

- From the first expression we have

$$\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 x^2 + \lambda_3 x^3 = 0$$

whose roots are r_1, r_2, r_3 .

- If $p_i(n) = \lambda_i n^T + \mathcal{O}(n^{T-1})$ $i = 0, 1, \dots, 3$ and

$$p_0(n)u_1(n) + p_1(n)u_1(n+1) + p_2(n)u_1(n+2) + p_3(n)u_1(n+3) = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} & p_0(n)p_0(n+1)W_n(1,2) - p_2(n)p_0(n+1)W_{n+1}(1,2) \\ & + p_3(n)p_1(n+1)W_{n+2}(1,2) - p_3(n)p_3(n+1)W_{n+3}(1,2) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

- From the first expression we have

$$\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 x^2 + \lambda_3 x^3 = 0$$






whose roots are r_1, r_2, r_3 .

- From the second equation we have

$$\lambda_3 + \lambda_2 \left(\frac{-\lambda_3}{\lambda_0} x \right) + \lambda_1 \left(\frac{-\lambda_3}{\lambda_0} x \right)^2 + \lambda_0 \left(\frac{-\lambda_3}{\lambda_0} x \right)^3 = 0$$

whose roots are $r_1 r_2, r_1 r_3, r_2 r_3$.

REFERENCES

-  ROGER APÉRY.
IRRATIONALITÉ DE $\zeta(2)$ ET $\zeta(3)$.
Number 61, pages 11–13. 1979.
Luminy Conference on Arithmetic.
-  F. BEUKERS.
A NOTE ON THE IRRATIONALITY OF $\zeta(2)$ AND $\zeta(3)$.
Bull. London Math. Soc., 11(3):268–272, 1979.
-  WALTER G. KELLEY AND ALLAN C. PETERSON.
DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS.
Harcourt/Academic Press, San Diego, CA, second edition, 2001.
An introduction with applications.
-  H. POINCARÉ.
SUR LES EQUATIONS LINEAIRES AUX DIFFERENTIELLES ORDINAIRES ET AUX DIFFERENCES FINIES.
Amer. J. Math., 7(3):203–258, 1885.
-  DORON ZEILBERGER.
A FAST ALGORITHM FOR PROVING TERMINATING HYPERGEOMETRIC IDENTITIES

Thank you for your attention!

SLIDES:

